

Dynamic Memory Allocation

Karthik Dantu Ethan Blanton Computer Science and Engineering University at Buffalo kdantu@buffalo.edu

Slides adapted from CMU 15-213: CSAPP course





Dynamic Memory Allocation



- Programmers use dynamic memory allocators (such as malloc) to acquire virtual memory (VM) at run time.
 - for data structures whose size is only known at runtime
- Dynamic memory allocators manage an area of process VM known as the *heap*.





- Allocator maintains heap as collection of variable sized *blocks*, which are either *allocated* or *free*
- Types of allocators
 - Explicit allocator: application allocates and frees space
 - E.g., **malloc** and **free** in C
 - Implicit allocator: application allocates, but does not free space
 - E.g., **new** and garbage collection in Java
- Will discuss simple explicit memory allocation today





The malloc Package

#include <stdlib.h>

void *malloc(size_t size)

- Successful:
 - Returns a pointer to a memory block of at least size bytes aligned to a 16-byte boundary (on x86-64)
 - If size == 0, returns NULL
- Unsuccessful: returns NULL (0) and sets **errno** to ENOMEM
- void free(void *p)
 - Returns the block pointed at by **p** to pool of available memory
 - p must come from a previous call to malloc, calloc, or realloc

Other functions

- **calloc:** Version of **malloc** that initializes allocated block to zero.
- **realloc**: Changes the size of a previously allocated block.
- **sbrk**: Used internally by allocators to grow or shrink the heap





```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
void foo(long n) {
    long i, *p;
    /* Allocate a block of n longs */
    p = (long *) malloc(n * sizeof(long));
    if (p == NULL) {
        perror("malloc");
        exit(0);
    /* Initialize allocated block */
    for (i=0; i<n; i++)</pre>
       p[i] = i;
    /* Do something with p */
    . . .
    /* Return allocated block to the heap */
    free(p);
```





- Code
 - File mm-reference.c
 - Manages fixed size heap
 - Functions mm_malloc, mm_free
- Features
 - Based on words of 8-bytes each
 - Pointers returned by malloc are double-word aligned
 - Double word = 2 words
 - Compile and run tests with command interpreter





Visualization Conventions

- Show 8-byte words as squares
- Allocations are double-word aligned.





O



Allocation Example (Conceptual)

0

#define SIZ sizeof(size t)





Constraints

- Applications
 - Can issue arbitrary sequence of **malloc** and **free** requests
 - **free** request must be to a **malloc**'d block
- Explicit Allocators
 - Can't control number or size of allocated blocks
 - Must respond immediately to **malloc** requests
 - *i.e.*, can't reorder or buffer requests
 - Must allocate blocks from free memory
 - *i.e.*, can only place allocated blocks in free memory
 - Must align blocks so they satisfy all alignment requirements
 - 16-byte (x86-64) alignment on 64-bit systems
 - Can manipulate and modify only free memory
 - Can't move the allocated blocks once they are malloc'd
 - *i.e.*, compaction is not allowed. *Why not?*





- Given some sequence of malloc and free requests:
 - *R*₀, *R*₁, ..., *R*_k, ..., *R*_{n-1}
- Goals: maximize throughput and peak memory utilization
 - These goals are often conflicting

- Throughput:
 - Number of completed requests per unit time
 - Example:
 - 5,000 malloc calls and 5,000 free calls in 10 seconds
 - Throughput is 1,000 operations/second





- Given some sequence of malloc and free requests:
 - $R_{0}, R_{1}, ..., R_{k}, ..., R_{n-1}$
- **Def:** Aggregate payload P_k
 - malloc(p) results in a block with a *payload* of p bytes
 - After request *R_k* has completed, the *aggregate payload P_k* is the sum of currently allocated payloads
- **Def:** Current heap size H_k
 - Assume *H_k* is monotonically nondecreasing
 - i.e., heap only grows when allocator uses **sbrk**
- *Def:* Overhead after k+1 requests
 - Fraction of heap space NOT used for program data
 - $O_k = H_k / (\max_{i \le k} P_i) 1.0$





Benchmark Example

Benchmark

syn-array-short

- Allocate & free 10 blocks
- a = allocate
- f = free
- Bias toward allocate at beginning & free at end
- Blocks number 1–10
- Allocated: Sum of all allocated amounts
- Peak: Max so far of Allocated

Step		Со	mmand	Delta	Allocated	Peak
1	a	0	9904	9904	9904	9904
2	a	1	50084	50084	59988	59988
3	a	2	20	20	60008	60008
4	a	3	16784	16784	76792	76792
5	f	3		-16784	60008	76792
6	a	4	840	840	60848	76792
7	a	5	3244	3244	64092	76792
8	f	0		-9904	54188	76792
9	a	6	2012	2012	56200	76792
10	f	2		-20	56180	76792
11	a	7	33856	33856	90036	90036
12	f	1		-50084	39952	90036
13	a	8	136	136	40088	90036
14	f	7		-33856	6232	90036
15	f	6		-2012	4220	90036
16	a	9	20	20	4240	90036
17	f	4		-840	3400	90036
18	f	8		-136	3264	90036
19	f	5		-3244	20	90036
20	f	9		-20	0	90036

University at Buffalo Department of Computer Science and Engineering School of Engineering and Applied Sciences

Benchmark Visualization

Step			Command	Delta	Allocated	Peak
1	a	0	9904	9904	9904	9904
2	a	1	50084	50084	59988	59988
3	a	2	20	20	60008	60008
4	a	3	16784	16784	76792	76792
5	f	3		-16784	60008	76792
6	a	4	840	840	60848	76792
7	a	5	3244	3244	64092	76792
8	f	0		-9904	54188	76792
9	a	6	2012	2012	56200	76792
10	f	2		-20	56180	76792
11	a	7	33856	33856	90036	90036
12	f	1		-50084	39952	90036
13	a	8	136	136	40088	90036
14	f	7		-33856	6232	90036
15	f	6		-2012	4220	90036
16	a	9	20	20	4240	90036
17	f	4		-840	3400	90036
18	f	8		-136	3264	90036
19	f	5		-3244	20	90036
20	f	9		-20	0	90036



- Data line shows total allocated data (P_i)
- Data Fit line shows peak of total (max_{$i \le k$} P_i) P_i
- Normalized in X & Y

13



Full Benchmark Behavior

- Given sequence of mallocs & frees (40,000 blocks)
 - Starts with all mallocs, and shifts toward all frees
- Manage space for all allocated blocks
- Metrics
 - Data: P_i
 - Data fit: $\max_{i \le k} P_i$





- Poor memory utilization caused by *fragmentation*
 - *internal* fragmentation
 - external fragmentation





• For a given block, *internal fragmentation* occurs if payload is smaller than block size



- Caused by
 - Overhead of maintaining heap data structures
 - Padding for alignment purposes
 - Explicit policy decisions (e.g., to return a big block to satisfy a small request)
- Depends only on the pattern of *previous* requests
 - Thus, easy to measure





Internal Fragmentation Effect



- Perfect Fit: Only requires space for allocated data, data structures, and unused space due to alignment constraints
 - For this benchmark, 1.5% overhead
 - Cannot achieve in practice
 - Especially since cannot move allocated blocks





External Fragmentation

18

 Occurs when there is enough aggregate heap memory, but no single free block is large enough



Amount of external fragmentation depends on the pattern of future requests

• Thus, difficult to measure



External Fragmentation Effect



- Best Fit: One allocation strategy
 - (To be discussed later)
 - Total overhead = 8.3% on this benchmark



O



- How do we know how much memory to free given just a pointer?
- How do we keep track of the free blocks?
- What do we do with the extra space when allocating a structure that is smaller than the free block it is placed in?
- How do we pick a block to use for allocation -- many might fit?
- How do we reuse a block that has been freed?





- Standard method
 - Keep the length (in bytes) of a block in the word *preceding* the block.
 - Including the header
 - This word is often called the *header field* or *header*
 - Requires an extra word for every allocated block





Keeping Track of Free Blocks

• Method 1: *Implicit list* using length—links all blocks



Need to tag each block as allocated/free

• Method 2: *Explicit list* among the free blocks using pointers



Need space for pointers

- Method 3: Segregated free list
 - Different free lists for different size classes
- Method 4: Blocks sorted by size
 - Can use a balanced tree (e.g. Red-Black tree) with pointers within each free block, and the length used as a key





- For each block we need both size and allocation status
 - Could store this information in two words: wasteful!
- Standard trick
 - When blocks are aligned, some low-order address bits are always 0
 - Instead of storing an always-0 bit, use it as an allocated/free flag
 - When reading the Size word, must mask out this bit







Detailed Implicit Free List Example



Double-word aligned

Allocated blocks: shaded Free blocks: unshaded Headers: labeled with "size in words/allocated bit" Headers are at non-aligned positions → Payloads are aligned





Implicit List: Data Structures

header payload

• Block declaration

<pre>typedef uint64_t word_t;</pre>	
typedef struct block {	
<pre>word_t header; unsigned char payload[0]; } block_t;</pre>	<pre>// Zero length array</pre>

- Getting payload from block pointer // block_t *block
 return (void *) (block->payload);

C function offsetof(struct, member) returns offset of member within struct





Implicit List: Header access





O



Implicit List: Traversing list



• Find next block





27

O



• First fit:

- Search list from beginning, choose *first* free block that fits:
- Finding space for **asize** bytes (including header):





- First fit:
 - Search list from beginning, choose *first* free block that fits:
 - Can take linear time in total number of blocks (allocated and free)
 - In practice it can cause "splinters" at beginning of list
- Next fit:
 - Like first fit, but search list starting where previous search finished
 - Should often be faster than first fit: avoids re-scanning unhelpful blocks
 - Some research suggests that fragmentation is worse
- Best fit:
 - Search the list, choose the *best* free block: fits, with fewest bytes left over
 - Keeps fragments small—usually improves memory utilization
 - Will typically run slower than first fit
 - Still a greedy algorithm. No guarantee of optimality





Comparing Strategies



- Total Overheads (for this benchmark)
 - Perfect Fit: 1.6%
 - Best Fit: 8.3%
 - First Fit: 11.9%
 - Next Fit: 21.6%



O



- Allocating in a free block: *splitting*
 - Since allocated space might be smaller than free space, we might want to split the block









Implicit List: Splitting Free Block

split_block(p, 32)



```
// Warning: This code is incomplete
static void split_block(block_t *block, size_t asize){
    size_t block_size = get_size(block);
    if ((block_size - asize) >= min_block_size) {
        write_header(block, asize, true);
        block_t *block_next = find_next(block);
        write_header(block_next, block_size - asize, false);
}
```





- Simplest implementation:
 - Need only clear the "allocated" flag
 - But can lead to "false fragmentation"



malloc(5*SIZ) Yikes!

There is enough contiguous free space, but the allocator won't be able to find it



Implicit List: Coalescing

 Join (coalesce) with next/previous blocks, if they are free







- Join (coalesce) with next block, if it is free
 - Coalescing with next block



- How do we coalesce with *previous* block?
 - How do we know where it starts?
 - How can we determine whether its allocated?





• Boundary tags [Knuth73]

- Replicate size/allocated word at "bottom" (end) of free blocks
- Allows us to traverse the "list" backwards, but requires extra space
- Important and general technique!









Implementation with Footers



```
const size_t dsize = 2*sizeof(word_t);
static word_t *header_to_footer(block_t *block)
{
    size_t asize = get_size(block);
    return (word_t *) (block->payload + asize - dsize);
}
```



O



{

Implementation with Footers



• Locating footer of previous block

static word_t *find_prev_footer(block_t *block)

return &(block->header) - 1;



O



Splitting Free Block: Full Version

split_block(p, 32)



```
static void split_block(block_t *block, size_t asize){
    size_t block_size = get_size(block);

    if ((block_size - asize) >= min_block_size) {
        write_header(block, asize, true);
        write_footer(block, asize, true);
        block_t *block_next = find_next(block);
        write_header(block_next, block_size - asize, false);
        write_footer(block_next, block_size - asize, false);
    }
}
```









Constant Time Coalescing (Case 1)







Constant Time Coalescing (Case 2)







Constant Time Coalescing (Case 3)







Constant Time Coalescing (Case 4)







Heap Structure



- Dummy footer before first header
 - Marked as allocated
 - Prevents accidental coalescing when freeing first block
- Dummy header after last footer
 - Prevents accidental coalescing when freeing final block





Top-Level Malloc Code

```
const size t dsize = 2*sizeof(word t);
void *mm malloc(size t size)
ł
    size t asize = round up(size + dsize, dsize);
    block t *block = find_fit(asize);
    if (block == NULL)
        return NULL;
    size t block size = get size(block);
    write header(block, block size, true);
    write footer(block, block size, true);
    split block(block, asize);
    return header to payload (block);
```





```
void mm_free(void *bp)
{
    block_t *block = payload_to_header(bp);
    size_t size = get_size(block);
    write_header(block, size, false);
    write_footer(block, size, false);
    coalesce_block(block);
}
```





Disadvantages of Boundary Tags

• Internal fragmentation

- Can it be optimized?
 - Which blocks need the footer tag?
 - What does that mean?







- Boundary tag needed only for free blocks
- When sizes are multiples of 16, have 4 spare bits







No Boundary Tag for Allocated Blocks (Case 1)



Header: Use 2 bits (address bits always zero due to alignment): (previous block allocated)<<1 | (current block allocated)





No Boundary Tag for Allocated Blocks (Case 2)



Header: Use 2 bits (address bits always zero due to alignment): (previous block allocated)<<1 | (current block allocated)



O



No Boundary Tag for Allocated Blocks (Case 3)



Header: Use 2 bits (address bits always zero due to alignment): (previous block allocated)<<1 | (current block allocated)



 \mathbf{c}



No Boundary Tag for Allocated Blocks (Case 4)



Header: Use 2 bits (address bits always zero due to alignment): (previous block allocated)<<1 | (current block allocated)



 \mathbf{c}

Summary of Key Allocator Policies

• Placement policy:

University at Buffalo

and Engineering

School of Engineering and Applied Sciences

Department of Computer Science

ЧЪ

- First-fit, next-fit, best-fit, etc.
- Trades off lower throughput for less fragmentation
- Interesting observation: segregated free lists (next lecture) approximate a best fit placement policy without having to search entire free list
- Splitting policy:
 - When do we go ahead and split free blocks?
 - How much internal fragmentation are we willing to tolerate?
- Coalescing policy:
 - *Immediate coalescing:* coalesce each time **free** is called
 - **Deferred coalescing:** try to improve performance of **free** by deferring coalescing until needed.





- Implementation: very simple
- Allocate cost:
 - linear time worst case
- Free cost:
 - constant time worst case
 - even with coalescing
- Memory Overhead
 - will depend on placement policy
 - First-fit, next-fit or best-fit
- Not used in practice for malloc/free because of linear-time allocation
 - used in many special purpose applications
- However, the concepts of splitting and boundary tag coalescing are general to *all* allocators

